The 2F technique: A novel approach in the Ross procedure
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Since its introduction in 1967, the Ross procedure has been subject to numerous variations, most particularly the Dacron tube inclusion to prevent the autograft from further dilation. Yet, some authors argue that an inclusion technique could originate with autograft failure due to geometric issues. In addition, the autograft is often too short to replace the entire aorta; therefore, an additional Dacron graft is needed in between the autograft and the distal aorta. Finally, the reimplantation of the coronary ostia on the autograft needs large-scale windows on the inclusion tube and is sometimes tricky. Herein, we present a novel approach that could address all these issues.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

After harvesting, excess fat and muscle of the pulmonary autograft are trimmed (Figure 1). The pulmonary artery wall is resected, leaving 3 mm of remnant, the leaflets’ coaptation is checked (Figure 2), and the autograft is sized using Hegar measurers. A straight Dacron tube oversized by 2 mm is used. Three 5/0 stiches are positioned in the middle of the 3 subcommisural triangles and running sutures secure the muscle of the autograft to the Dacron tube (Figure 3). Then, each commissure is attached to the Dacron tube using a Teflon felt 4/0 polypropylene U-stitch and the proximal end of the tube is attached to the aortic annulus with three 4/0 polypropylene running sutures (Figure 4). Reimplantation of the coronary ostia is performed directly on the Dacron. The pulmonary conduit is then the distal anastomosis in between the tube and the aorta done. Patients’ pre- and postoperative data are presented in the Table 1.

DISCUSSION

The Ross procedure has been recently the subject of significant research interest. Yokoyama and colleagues compared outcomes of the Ross procedure with mechanical aortic valve replacement (M-AVR) and bioprosthetic (B-AVR) valves. Their research involved studies including 4812 patients. All-cause mortality was lower in the Ross procedure compared with M-AVR and B-AVR. Major bleeding rate was lower after the Ross procedure compared with M-AVR, whereas long-term stroke rate was lower following the Ross procedure compared with M-AVR and B-AVR.

El-Hamamsy underscored the importance of concentrating care in specialized centers with surgical expertise, allowing the extension of the procedure to patients up to 55 years and not only younger patients. Lastly, the article by Tanaka and colleagues discusses strategies for supporting pulmonary autografts while preserving root physiology during the Ross procedure. Juthier and colleagues have introduced the use of a Valsalva-shaped tube to prevent dilatation and subsequently valve insufficiency or root aneurysm. We decided to use a straight tube instead of a Valsalva-shaped one to avoid positioning issues inside the bulged segment of the Dacron.

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Herein, we present a novel approach of the Dacron tube inclusion Ross procedure, widely inspired by the David I procedure and the Medtronic 3F stentless aortic valve implantation.
Our approach is designed to deal with 2 of the issues of the Ross procedure when included in a Dacron tube, which are the lack of length of the autograft to allow the replacement of the entire ascending aorta (needing at that point an additional graft) and the difficulty of re-implantation of the coronary ostia on the autograft through large windows on the Dacron. Our approach is a combination of 2 well-known techniques, the David procedure with the resection of the vessel wall, leaving only 3 mm of remnants and subsequently allowing the implantation of the coronary ostia directly to the tube, and the Medtronic 3F stentless valve implantation technique with the attachment of the 3 commissures on the aortic wall (here a Dacron tube) using U stitches. Both techniques have proven an excellent long-term durability. Concerning the replacement of the entire aorta, Tweddell and O’Donnell have previously described the inclusion of the autograft into a longer Dacron tube to allow the replacement of the entire aorta without need for an additional tube. Yet, they have to perform a time-consuming distal suture of the autograft inside the Dacron to achieve hemostasis. In our approach, only a suspension of the commissures by three stitches is performed.

The last point of concern is the one rising from the use of the straight Dacron tube instead of a Valsalva-shaped one.

**FIGURE 1.** View from the proximal side of the autograft. The muscle and the excess fat are trimmed to leave about 3 mm of remaining tissue.

**FIGURE 2.** The pulmonary artery wall is resected, leaving 3 mm of remnants after the leaflets, same as what performed in a David approach (left), then the coaptation of the 3 leaflets is checked (right).
Shrestha and colleagues\(^5\) have recently reported a series of 677 patients over 27 years using a David I technique with a straight tube, with excellent long-term results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The 2F technique seems to be a promising approach to simplify the Ross procedure. It is reproducible, and deals with issues faced with the classical technique, such as the

---

**TABLE 1. Pre- and postoperative patient data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N = 6</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>52.5 (43-58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>62.5 (55-71)</td>
<td>59.7 (50-65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI 0/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossclamping time, min</td>
<td>102 (95-115)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB time, min</td>
<td>121 (115-132)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative autograft valve gradient</td>
<td>5.7 (4-8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative hospital stay, d</td>
<td>9.8 (7-13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LVEF,* Left ventricular ejection fraction; *AI,* aortic insufficiency; *CPB,* cardiopulmonary bypass. Ranges are it between brackets.

---

**FIGURE 3.** The muscle below the leaflets is attached to the inclusion Dacron tube using three 5/0 polypropylene running suture after a careful assessment of the annulus geometry.

**FIGURE 4.** Surgical view of the 2F inclusion technique before and after implantation on the aortic annulus. Note that the commissures are fare below the distal end of the Dacron tube without any difficulty to attach with Teflon felt U-stitches.
lack of length of the autograft and the reimplantation of the coronary ostia on the autograft through large windows on the Dacron tube. However, long-term results are still to be analyzed.
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