In patients with arrhythmogenic mitral valve prolapse, both ablation and surgical correction of the underlying valvulopathy have been described as beneficial approaches to reduce the arrhythmogenic burden. Although centers have increasingly adopted robotic assistance as part of their mitral valve repair armamentarium, the technical nuances of a robotic approach for arrhythmogenic mitral valve prolapse remain underreported.

We present the case of a 67-year-old man with severe, symptomatic, bileaflet mitral valve prolapse and history of ventricular tachycardia with prior ablation attempt and implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation performed at an outside hospital. Ports configuration consisted of the working port and camera placed in the third intercostal space at the right anterior axillary line; the left robotic arm port placed in the second intercostal space, halfway between the anterior axillary line and the midclavicular line; the right robotic arm port placed in the fifth intercostal space, slightly below the anterior axillary line; and the left atrial retractor placed in the fourth intercostal space 2 cm medial to the midclavicular line. Cardiopulmonary bypass was achieved by percutaneous femoral cannulation. Aortic crossclamp and cardioplegia delivery were provided using the endoaortic balloon occlusion device. After exposure through the Waterston’s groove (Video 1), the mitral valve was inspected and had myxomatous degenerative changes leading to bileaflet prolapse and severe annular dilatation. We closed the left atrial appendage and performed a 2-minute cryoablation of both papillary muscles (from the tip to midshaft height) using the Atricure cryoablation probe. We then repaired the mitral valve: we excised the thickened, disjunct basal portion of P1 and P2 with subsequent sliding plasty, we placed 2 neochords to P2, and we completed the repair with a 38-mm annuloplasty band. Cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic crossclamp times were 193 and 148 minutes, respectively. After the procedure, transesophageal echocardiography revealed trace mitral regurgitation and a mean gradient of 3 mm Hg. The patient had an uneventful postoperative recovery, with no subsequent ventricular arrhythmic events.

CONCLUSIONS
Using a totally endoscopic, robotic-assisted approach is safe and effective for the treatment of bileaflet arrhythmogenic mitral regurgitation.
Case Report

Conflict of Interest Statement

Dr. Amabile receives consulting fees from JOMDD/Sanamedi. Mr. LaLonde receives consulting fees from Edwards Lifesciences and Intuitive Surgical. Dr. Krane is a physician proctor and a member of the medical advisory board for JOMDD/Sanamedi, a physician proctor for Peter Duschek, a medical consultant for EVOTEC and Moderna, and has received speakers’ honoraria from Medtronic and Terumo. Dr. Geirsson receives consulting fees for being a member of the Medtronic Strategic Surgical Advisory Board and from Edwards Lifesciences. All other authors reported no conflicts of interest.

The Journal policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

References


